

Reviews come in various forms—[anonymous](#), [open](#), and [double blind](#), where reviewers are not revealed to the authors and authors are not revealed to reviewers. Whatever the process, act accordingly and with the highest moral principles. The cloak of anonymity is not intended to cover scientific misconduct. Do not take on the review if there is the slightest possibility of [conflict of interest](#). Conflicts arise when, for example, the paper is poor and will likely be rejected, yet there might be good ideas that you could apply in your own research, or, someone is working dangerously close to your own next paper. Most review requests first provide the [abstract](#) and then the paper only after you accept the review assignment. In clear cases of conflict, do not request the paper. With conflict, there is often a gray area; if you are in any doubt whatsoever, consult with the [Editors](#) who have asked you to review.

From:

<https://operativeneurosurgery.com/> - **Operative Neurosurgery**

Permanent link:

<https://operativeneurosurgery.com/doku.php?id=anonymous>

Last update: **2018/01/31 12:52**

